## September 7, 2023

Michael Regan Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20460

Dear Administrator Regan,

The undersigned associations are writing to urge EPA to maintain the existing National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for fine particulate matter. Lowering standards further would harm America's ability to revitalize our supply chains and manufacturing, as well as to restore and revitalize our nation's infrastructure. In addition, the current reconsideration is discretionary and not required by the Clean Air Act as the existing standards were just reviewed in 2020.

America's air continues to improve. The business community has worked with EPA and its state partners to lower fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) emissions by 42 percent since 2000¹ and is making significant progress even with the steady growth in the U.S. economy, population, and energy use. Thanks to innovation and investment, new emissions control technologies and solutions have been widely adopted to improve air quality.

The vast majority of  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions, more than 84 percent, now come from non-point sources<sup>2</sup> such as fires and unpaved roads. The larger amounts of particles and gaseous  $PM_{2.5}$  precursors resulting from the devastating wildfires in Canada and the West are stark reminders of the smothering blanket of emissions that cause serious impairment of air quality and why forestry management would appear to offer the greatest opportunity to reduce  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions. In contrast to non-point sources, only 16 percent of  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions come from industrial sources and power plants that are already well controlled and are making further reductions.

With twenty counties still out of attainment with, or in a maintenance area for the current 12  $\mu$ g/m³ annual air quality standards,³ tightening the standards further would put large swaths of the country in non-attainment and permitting gridlock. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. EPA, Our Nation's Air: Trends Through 2022, https://gispub.epa.gov/air/trendsreport/2023/#air trends.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S. EPA, Policy Assessment for the Reconsideration of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter, May 2022, <a href="https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-05/Final%20Policy%20Assessment%20for%20the%20Reconsideration%20of%20the%20PM%20NAAOSMay2022">https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-05/Final%20Policy%20Assessment%20for%20the%20Reconsideration%20of%20the%20PM%20NAAOSMay2022</a> O.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. EPA, Green Book, July 31, 2023, https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/kbtc.html.

some areas, even eliminating all emissions from industrial sources, power plants and vehicles would likely not be sufficient to meet the existing PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards, let alone tighter standards.

As the PM<sub>2.5</sub> standards approach background levels, there are fewer tools available for compliance. This is not only an issue for nonattainment areas, but also for adjacent areas as well. The inability to comply with these near-background level standards could lead to consequences such as onerous permitting requirements that would freeze manufacturing and supply chain investments, as well as other unintended consequences. Recent supply chain disruptions exposed economic and national security vulnerabilities and the need for massive domestic investments in such activities as mining and processing of critical minerals for priorities like renewable energy, semiconductor manufacturing, and energy development for us and our allies.

Lowering the PM<sub>2.5</sub> air quality standards through discretionary rulemaking endangers these investments and the associated good paying jobs. One study found that lowering the standards would threaten close to one million jobs and \$200 billion in economic activity.<sup>4</sup> The economic impacts are estimated to be high because the headroom between PM<sub>2.5</sub> background levels and lower standards would shrink considerably, making each increment of additional reductions exceedingly more costly to achieve.

Given the progress being made to reduce emissions, and the potential harm that could be caused by lowering standards further, we ask that EPA maintain the existing standards while continuing to support innovation and current emissions reduction efforts. Thank you for your time and consideration.

## Sincerely,

Agricultural Retailers Association
The Aluminum Association
American Chemistry Council
American Coke and Coal Chemicals Institute
American Council for Capital Formation
American Exploration & Production Council
American Farm Bureau Federation
American Forest & Paper Association
American Fuel and Petrochemical Manufacturers
American Iron and Steel Institute

<sup>4</sup> National Association of Manufacturers, U.S. Air Quality Standards and the Manufacturing Sector, April 2023, <a href="https://documents.nam.org/COMM/NAM">https://documents.nam.org/COMM/NAM</a> Air Quality Standards Analysis Web Version.pdf.

American Petroleum Institute

American Public Gas Association

American Public Power Association

American Road & Transportation Builders Association

American Wood Council

Associated Builders and Contractors

Associated General Contractors of America

Consumer Energy Alliance

Corn Refiners Association

Council of Industrial Boiler Owners

**Energy Marketers of America** 

The Fertilizer Institute

Hardwood Federation

Interstate Natural Gas Association of America

National Association of Manufacturers

National Lime Association

**National Mining Association** 

National Oilseed Processors Association

National Rural Electric Cooperative Association

National Stone, Sand, & Gravel Association

Portland Cement Association

Steel Manufacturers Association

**Treated Wood Council** 

U.S. Chamber of Commerce