



VIA ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION

November 2, 2010

The Honorable David Michaels, PhD, MPH
Assistant Secretary
Occupational Safety and Health Administration
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20210

Re: Consultation Agreements: Proposed Changes to Consultation Procedures; Docket ID OSHA-2010-0010; RIN 1218-AC32

Dear Assistant Secretary Michaels:

Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc. (ABC) submits the following comments to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), in response to the above-referenced notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), published in the *Federal Register* on September 3, 2010, at 75 Fed. Reg. 54064.

About Associated Builders and Contractors, Inc.

ABC is a national construction industry trade association representing 25,000 merit shop contractors, subcontractors, materials suppliers and construction-related firms within a network of 77 chapters throughout the United States and Guam. ABC member contractors employ more than 2.5 million construction workers, whose training and experience span all of the twenty-plus skilled trades that comprise the construction industry. Moreover, the vast majority of our contractor members are classified as small businesses. Our diverse membership is bound by a shared commitment to the *merit shop philosophy* in the construction industry. This philosophy is based on the principles of full and open competition unfettered by government, nondiscrimination due to labor affiliation, and the award of construction contracts to the lowest responsible bidder. This process assures that taxpayers and consumers will receive the most for their construction dollar.

With respect to safety, ABC members strive for “zero accident” jobsites, believing that safe workplaces are essential to the success of their businesses. Furthermore, our members understand their moral obligation to provide employees with safe and healthy environments in which to work. Many ABC companies have implemented safety programs that are among the best programs in the industry, often far exceeding OSHA requirements. In addition, ABC maintains relationships with OSHA’s national, regional and area offices. These relationships have fostered a greater industry-wide understanding of workplace safety, and have contributed to a consistent decrease in the number of fatalities and injuries in the construction industry since 1994.

ABC's Comments in Response to OSHA's Proposed Rule

OSHA's proposal revises the provisions of its On-site Consultation Program, a 35-year old, agency-funded service through which employers may voluntarily learn "about potential hazards at their worksites, improve their occupational safety and health management systems, and . . . qualify for a one-year exemption from routine OSHA inspection."¹ Intended for small businesses in high-hazard industries, the program provides a confidential process by which participants, with the assistance of highly-trained safety and health consultants (overseen by state governments), identify workplace hazards and take corrective action. It is seen by many, including OSHA, as a highly successful program.

OSHA has encouraged participation in the program by assuring businesses that consultants will not "issue citations or propose penalties for violations of OSHA standards," or "report possible violations to OSHA enforcement staff."² To protect against abuse, OSHA reserves the right to initiate enforcement activity following fatalities, formal complaints, and in circumstances of "imminent danger."³

The NPRM, however, incorporates additional enforcement elements into the On-site Consultation program. Given the aforementioned existing protections, these additional elements are unnecessary. Moreover, OSHA's proposed changes would serve as a significant disincentive to employer participation—for those currently enrolled in the program and, perhaps more importantly, future prospects.

Specifically, the NPRM would limit programmed inspection deferrals to one year, and only grant one subsequent deferral for an additional year. Currently, the initial deferral is available for a year or more, depending on circumstances, with possible subsequent renewals of up to three years.⁴ Despite this obvious negative impact, OSHA offers no evidence to support its proposed change.

In addition, the NPRM would establish a new category of exceptions to the programmed inspection exemption, referred to as "other critical inspections," through which an in-progress consultation could be concluded and enforcement action immediately triggered.⁵ The NPRM is unclear as to the types of situations that could justify the use of this provision by the Assistant Secretary, leaving employers uncertain about how the program would be implemented going forward. Should OSHA proceed with its proposal, additional clarification and guidance is warranted with regard to this provision.

Given that 32 percent of On-site Consultation visits occur on construction sites, ABC is especially concerned about the implications of OSHA's proposed rule.⁶ ABC believes that the proposed changes to the On-site Consultation Program would immediately discourage employers from further participation, which would artificially reduce the number of enrolled employers over time. This, in

¹ OSHA On-site Consultation Program Fact Sheet: http://63.234.227.130/OshDoc/data_General_Facts/factsheet-consultations.pdf.

² OSHA, *supra*.

³ 75 Fed. Reg. at 54066. ABC does not take issue with this long-standing policy.

⁴ OSHA Consultation Policies and Procedures Manual:

http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=DIRECTIVES&p_id=3771.

⁵ 75 Fed. Reg. at 54065-54066.

⁶ OSHA On-site Consultation Visits by Industry Sector: http://www.osha.gov/dcs/smallbusiness/consultchart_2.html.

turn, would present the very real possibility that program funding could be significantly reduced or eliminated in the long term, in favor of reallocating resources toward enforcement-only activities.⁷ Such action would be detrimental on OSHA's part, as it would create self-imposed barriers to communication and cooperation between the agency and employers. Instead, ABC recommends that OSHA propose ways in which programs like the On-site Consultation Program could be expanded and made more attractive to employers, in order to broaden the agency's reach to employers and, ultimately, to better ensure the safety of high-hazard workplaces.

Finally, ABC believes that OSHA's proposal itself is an inefficient and counterproductive use of the agency's limited resources.⁸ The revisions to the On-site Consultation Program will increase targeted enforcement activities on responsible actors, who by their very participation in the program have signaled a proactive willingness to come forward and work with OSHA in good faith to identify potential worksite hazards and improve their safety and health management programs. Thus, the NPRM is not in keeping with OSHA's stated commitment to focus its enforcement efforts on "recalcitrant employers who endanger workers by demonstrating indifference to their responsibilities under the law."⁹ ABC firmly believes that continued use and support of practical, successful compliance assistance programs—and not reliance on enforcement alone—in OSHA's overall strategy is the key to ensuring and enhancing safety in the construction industry.

* * * *

It is for the reasons outlined above that ABC opposes the NPRM, and urges the agency to reconsider its planned revisions to the On-site Consultation Program. ABC recommends that OSHA maintain robust and sincere cooperative efforts to balance its enforcement actions, and believes that OSHA's proposal would work to undermine such an equilibrium. Furthermore, ABC shares the concerns and recommendations provided in comments filed to this docket on October 29, 2010, by the Coalition for Workplace Safety (CWS), and incorporates them into this letter by reference.¹⁰

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments on this matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Sean Thurman
Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Associated Builders & Contractors, Inc.

⁷ See discussion of budget cuts to the Voluntary Protection Program (VPP) in OSHA's proposed FY2011 budget in *EHS Today*, "DOL 2011 Budget Request Includes OSHA Increase, Focus on Enforcement": <http://ehstoday.com/standards/osha/dol-budget-request-osha-increase-focus-enforcement-2414/>.

⁸ *EHS Today*, *supra*.

⁹ OSHA News Release: "US Department of Labor's OSHA takes action to protect America's workers with severe violator program and increased penalties": http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show_document?p_table=NEWS_RELEASES&p_id=17544.

¹⁰ See Docket No. OSHA-2010-0010-0058.1.